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Introduction

It is extremely difficult to estimate the actual number of sex crimes committed because of low levels of reporting. Sex crimes are not only often unreported, they are often unseen by anyone other than the victim and perpetrator. Nevertheless, statistics on the incidence and prevalence of sex crimes, as well as trend data, can provide important insight into the nature and extent of sexual violence that policymakers and practitioners can use to design and deliver more effective prevention and intervention strategies.

This brief addresses the incidence and prevalence of sexual offending. It summarizes what is scientifically known about the topic and identifies policy implications, knowledge gaps, and unresolved controversies that emerge from the extant research and that might serve as a catalyst for future empirical study.

Summary of Research Findings

Creating a complete and accurate accounting of the extent of sexual offending is challenging. First, there is no single definition of sexual offending. Statutory definitions of sex offenses differ from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; a sex crime committed in one state might not be classified as a sex crime in an adjacent state. Comparing recorded crime and victimization statistics is also challenging due to the variety of reference periods, as some sources measure lifetime victimization while others measure annual or college semester victimization. Finally, rate comparison can be problematic given the different ways in which the sample being studied is measured. With these challenges in mind, following is a review of key data sources and what they reveal about the incidence and prevalence of sexual offending.
Uniform Crime Report

The FBI compiles its Uniform Crime Report (UCR) from data submitted by law enforcement agencies across the Nation. The UCR indicates that 88,097 forcible rapes were reported to law enforcement in 2009, a rate of 28.7 crimes per 100,000 inhabitants of the United States (FBI, 2009). The key strength of the UCR is that it is a consistently collected source of data that covers most of the Nation. The key weakness of the UCR for purposes of assessing sexual offending is that the UCR crime incident data reflect only crimes reported to police and this type of crime frequently is not reported to police.

National Crime Victimization Survey

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) is a nationally representative survey of approximately 42,000 households, and all individuals over age 12 in the household are interviewed. Based on the NCVS data, an estimated 243,800 rape/sexual assault victimizations occurred in the United States in 2011, a rate of 0.9 victimizations per 1,000 persons age 12 and over (Truman & Planty, 2012). Two key strengths of the NCVS are that it measures unreported victimization incidents as well as victimizations reported to police, and that these data are collected and reported annually. A weakness with the NCVS is that, because it is a crime victimization survey, some respondents may not report victimizations that they do not personally label as a crime, such as unwanted sexual contact by an acquaintance. This may lead to an undercount of sex crimes.

National Violence Against Women Survey

The National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS) was administered in the mid-1990s to assess the extent of violence against women in the United States. The NVAWS found that 17.6 percent of women and 0.3 percent of men who responded to the survey had been victims of rape at some time in their life. Based on this finding, the authors estimate that almost 18 million women and almost 3 million men in the United States have been raped (Tjaden & Thoennes, 2006). The key strength of the NVAWS is that it was a nationally representative sample of both men and women. A second key strength of this study was the design—respondents were asked a series of questions (referred to as a scale) about their experiences of sexual assault rather than being asked yes/no questions. The key weakness of the NVAWS is that it was conducted 15 years ago, and the findings may or may not reflect the experiences of women and men today.

National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS)

The NISVS is an ongoing, annual, nationally representative telephone survey that began collecting information about sexual violence, stalking victimization, and intimate partner violence among adult women and men ages 18 and older in the United States in 2010. The 2010 survey found that nearly 1 in 5 women (18.3%) and 1 in 71 men (1.4%) in the United States have been raped in their lifetime (Black et al., 2011). Key strengths of the NISVS are its use of a public health approach, its exclusive focus of sexual violence, the fact that it assesses 60 different violent behaviors, its coverage of more than select populations (such as college students), and its use of both cell phone and landline phone samples. The NISVS also collects information on forms of sexual violence that have not been measured in a national survey before. The weakness of the NISVS is that it relies on self-reports of victimization experiences.

National College Women Sexual Victimization Study

The National College Women Sexual Victimization Study (NCWSV) was conducted using a telephone survey of a randomly selected national sample of women attending 2- or 4-year colleges during the fall semester of 1996 (Fisher, Cullen, & Turner, 2000). Fisher and colleagues found that 2.8 percent of college women who responded to the survey had experienced either a completed or an attempted rape during the semester. Of those who reported rape, 23 percent reported multiple rapes. The key strengths of the NCWSV are the sample size and the fact the sample of colleges was a probability sample proportionate to female student enrollment and college location (urban, suburban, and rural) (Fisher, Cullen, & Turner, 2000). A key weakness of this study is that it addresses a narrow population—women attending college. Another weakness is that comparisons to other studies are difficult because the reference period is 7 months rather than 12 months and lifetime victimization is not measured (Cook et al., 2011).
Sexual Experiences Survey
The Sexual Experiences Survey (SES) was developed in the late 1970s to “operationalize a continuum of unwanted experiences that at the extreme reflect legal definitions of attempted rape and rape” (Koss et al., 2007). Using SES questions, Testa and colleagues (2004) conducted in-person interviews with 1,014 women living in or near Buffalo, New York, between May 2000 and April 2002. Of the respondents, 38 percent indicated they had experienced sexual victimization since age 14. A key strength of this instrument is the wide range of sexual victimization that is characterized by the questions asked. Also, the instrument does not use the word “rape” but rather uses behaviorally specific descriptions of unwanted sexual acts. The weakness of the SES is that different methods (sampling frame, sample size, method of survey administration, etc.) of using the SES can lead to variability in responses.

National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System
The National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) has provided annual counts of incidents of child sexual abuse since 1992. NCANDS data are based on reports from participating state child protection agencies. For 2009, the NCANDS estimates that nearly 66,000 children were victims of sexual abuse (HHS, 2010). A strength of the NCANDS is that state participation is nearly universal—as of 2007, all 50 states were providing summary data, and many also provide case-level data. A weakness is that the data from this source reflect incidents of abuse by caretakers reported to child protection agencies. Sexual abuse committed by a nonfamily member or a noncaretaker may not be included in this data set.

National Survey of Children’s Exposure to Violence
The National Survey of Children’s Exposure to Violence (NatSCEV) is the first national survey to measure both past-year and lifetime exposure to multiple behaviors, including sexual victimization. Key research findings were that 6.1 percent of children had been sexually victimized in the past year and 9.8 percent had been victims during their lifetime. Sexual victimization includes attempted and completed rape, sexual assault, flashing or sexual exposure, sexual harassment, and statutory sexual offenses (Finkelhor et al., 2009). This survey is notable for its large sample size. A major strength of this study is the care taken to oversample in certain populations to allow for subgroup analysis. A weakness of this study is that it is not conducted annually, so annual comparisons to other data sources are not possible.

Developmental Victimization Survey
A precursor to the NatSCEV and conducted by the same researchers, the Developmental Victimization Survey (DVS) was a random-digit-dial survey of households conducted in 2003. Results of the DVS indicated that 1 in 12 children in the sample (82 per 1,000) had experienced a sexual victimization in the sample year, including 22 per 1,000 who experienced an attempted or completed rape and 32 per 1,000 who experienced a sexual assault (Finkelhor et al., 2005). The strengths of the DVS are the large sample size and the wide range of screening questions asked of the child sample. A weakness of the DVS interviews is that they were administered in English only, thereby missing non-English speakers, and only by using the telephone, eliminating from the sample those households that do not have a phone. Further, interviewing of children under age 10 was done with the child’s caregiver, which may have limited the reporting of victimization (particularly if perpetrated by the caregiver) (Finkelhor et al., 2005).

National Incidence Studies of Missing, Abducted, Runaway, and Thrownaway Children–2
The National Incidence Studies of Missing, Abducted, Runaway, and Thrownaway Children–2 (NISMART–2) is an estimate of the number of missing children based on surveys of households, juvenile residential facilities, and law enforcement agencies. Data were collected via telephone interviews in 1999 and have been reported in several publications. Key research findings were that an estimated 285,400 children were victims of a sexual assault, for a rate of approximately 4.1 victims per 1,000 children in the United States (Finkelhor, Hammer, & Sedlak, 2008). A strength is that this is a national survey using a probability sample of households. The sample size is very large. Another key strength is that definitions of crimes used in the NCVS were used for NISMART–2, allowing comparisons between the results of both surveys (Finkelhor, Hammer, & Sedlak, 2008).
A weakness is that this study is not conducted annually. NISMART–2 was conducted only in 1999 and NISMART–1 was conducted only in 1988, making comparison between the two difficult.

National Women’s Study

The National Women’s Study (NWS) is a 3-year longitudinal study of a national probability sample of adult women in the United States age 18 and older. The results indicated that 13 percent of women reported being victims of at least one completed rape in their lifetime. Based on this, it was estimated that 12.1 million women in the United States have been victims of one forcible rape in their lifetime (NVC & CVRATC, 1992). The strength of this study was the probability sampling process that created greater representation in the sample. In addition, the longitudinal nature of the study allowed for variation in response over three interviews in a 2-year period. One weakness is that a telephone survey is limited to those who live in households with a phone; therefore, those without phones were not represented in the sample. In addition, this survey excluded females under age 18 and males from the survey; therefore, it is only valid for adult women in the United States.

Trend Data

Historical data on the incidence of sexual assault can provide important insight about trends over time. Data from law enforcement as well as victimization surveys suggest that sexual assaults, much like other types of crime, have substantially declined over the past 10 to 20 years. According to the FBI, the number of forcible rapes reported to the police fell 14 percent between 1990 and 2009, from 102,555 to 88,097. The number of rapes reported to police per 100,000 U.S. residents also fell during that time (from 41.1 to 28.7), a decline of 30 percent (FBI, 2009). Data on sexual assault victimization surveys follow a similar pattern. According to NCVS, the number of rape/sexual assault victimizations for those age 12 and older in the United States fell by more than 30 percent between 2002 and 2011, dropping from 349,810 to 243,800 over the 9-year period. Overall, the estimated number of rape/sexual assault victimizations fell by more than one-third in 2011 (from about 383,000 in 1990 to 243,800) (Rennison, 2000; Truman & Plany, 2012).

Underreporting of Sex Crimes

One of the greatest challenges to developing an accurate estimate of the incidence and prevalence of sexual offending is the fact that not every victim will disclose the incident to law enforcement; also, many will not disclose the incident to a researcher during a survey. Research has clearly demonstrated that many sex offenses are never reported to authorities. For example, NCVS data suggest that only about 1 in 4 rapes or sexual assaults have been reported to police over the past 15 years, with some year-to-year fluctuations (Bachman, 1998; Truman & Plany, 2012). In addition, Tjaden and Thoennes (2006) found that only 19 percent of women and 13 percent of men who were raped since their 18th birthday reported the rape to the police. Finally, the results of the NWS indicate that 84 percent of victims did not report the rape to the police (NVC & CVRATC, 1992).

Research Limitations and Future Needs

Although increased scholarly attention has been paid to sexual victimization and victimization surveys in the past two decades, and notable improvements regarding the reporting of sexual assault crimes have recently been made in the national UCR program, much remains to be done to develop standard definitions of sex crimes and to measure victimization in a way that elicits self-report of a traumatic experience many victims may choose not to discuss. What is known about victims and offenders is based on an incomplete picture of the true extent of victimization. Studies of victims rely on self-report, resulting in dramatic undercounts of victimization. What is known to date is that sexual victimization is far more common than existing sources indicate and that more needs to be done to develop a credible literature on the extent, causes, and consequences of sexual victimization.

With so many inconsistencies and uncertainties in the available data, identifying the most important knowledge gaps and priorities for future research is a daunting task. Nevertheless, to improve our understanding of the incidence and prevalence of sexual offending, several of the most pressing issues that warrant examination include the victim’s perception of the crime, the wording of questions, prevention, and vulnerable populations, among others.
Conclusions and Policy Implications

Although credible conclusions are difficult to make given the limitations of the available data, statistics from several key sources suggest that the incidence of sexual offending may be declining. Whether this pattern can be explained by factors other than a true decline remains subject to debate, but the convergence of key indicators and other empirical evidence suggests that the true decline hypothesis should be further examined and not dismissed (Finkelhor & Jones, 2004). Keeping in mind the limitations of the data, policymakers are encouraged to monitor key indicators of incidence over time and to work with researchers to better understand the factors influencing patterns in the data, including the roles of various policies and practices designed to prevent, treat, or otherwise intervene in sexual offending behavior.

Given the current state of our knowledge base, there is an acute need to both improve and expand our data on the incidence and prevalence of sexual victimization, particularly the comparability of data. There also is an acute need to learn more about the underreporting of sex crimes. Finally, it is important to acknowledge that even when sex offender management approaches are designed and delivered based on scientific evidence, hidden offending presents significant challenges. Therefore, practitioners must be up front about these limitations and expectations for sex offender management on the part of the public, and policymakers must be tempered accordingly.
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